

Ferme Park Road

Public Consultation : Speed reduction measures on Ferme Park Road

Main measures proposed consist of: .

- Provision of new speed and junction tables along Ferme Park Road
- Replacement of the existing refuge island outside 130/132 with a raised table and a larger pedestrian island
- Replacement of the existing refuge island with a raised zebra crossing outside 69/71
- Replacement of the existing zebra crossing on Ferme Park Road by Mount View Road with a raised zebra crossing and larger island, 10m to the west of its current location
- Replacement of the existing refuge island outside 40/42 with a raised table and a larger pedestrian island
- Replacement of the existing zebra crossing outside the Londis supermarket with a raised zebra crossing
- Relocation of some pavement parking bays (known as “2-wheels up”) back on to the road.

The measures will result in some loss of parking, but are needed to improve visibility, which is often restricted by obstructive parking. We will also be installing double yellow lines (no waiting or loading) on Ferme Park Road at junctions with side roads. Where appropriate the double yellow lines will extend for at least 10 metres.

Final Analysis 9 May

67% of respondents support the proposed measures.
24% object, while 11% have other or mixed views.

Support in Ferme Parke Road is

Table 1

		Count	%
Support / object	Support	45	64%
	Object	17	24%
	Other view	8	11%
	Total	70	100%

Table 2

		Support / object					
		Support		Object		Other view	
		Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Road	Ferme Park Rd	40	65%	15	24%	7	11%
	Landrock Rd	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
	Mount View Rd	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Dashwood Rd	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%
	Total	45	64%	17	24%	8	11%

Table 3 Comment themes. These give a general overview of Residents' views

		Count	%
Comment theme	Damaging vibration and noise (incl buses and HGVs), More noise and pollution from vehicles queuing at the crossing	4	6%
	Road narrowing and raised table creates more traffic congestion, bus delays, & obstructive parking	7	10%
	Speed cameras would be much more effective and much better than traffic queues that will result from your measures	4	6%
	Reduced parking space severely inconveniences residents - and their deliveries	7	10%
	Do need to stop excessive and dangerous speeding - maybe also have speed cameras	39	56%
	Inadequate provision for cyclists	2	3%
	No comments made	7	10%
	Total	70	100%

Full Comments, including reasons for objections, are set out below.

Road	Support / object	Comments
Dashwood Rd	Other view	I believe you need to give some attention to how you deal with scooter/moped riders and cyclists who more often than not present the biggest danger to pedestrians. The likelihood is that many will ride up on pavements to avoid traffic slowing down if you put in traffic calming measures. This increased danger could potentially be designed out with a little bit of thought.

Ferne Park Rd	Other view	<p>Speed reduction measures are long overdue on FPR, so I support in principle the proposals in your letter of 11/04/23. I have some concerns however which I sincerely hope are listened to, as previous history shows Haringey very rarely listen to concerns. Over the years I have logged complaints about the manner in which the buses thud into the road outside my home. These have simply been ignored or batted off to Thames Water, who also do nothing to help an increasingly aggravating, and upsetting situation. You will appreciate this is our home, we have lived here for many years, and categorically I can assure you the situation is worsening, not improving. As a high level Council Tax payer (£330 per month no less), I feel as if my issues simply aren't being addressed. I say all of this in context to the proposals you are considering as I believe there are opportunities to improve matters, though alternatively, they may make matters even worse. My fear is that enlarging the traffic island outside my house is only going to drag the buses and other heavy vehicles even closer to my premises, which, as previously mentioned, literally shudder under the impact of these overly heavy vehicles. As a result of the constant moving of the house, there are numerous plaster cracks, which may be aesthetic on the surface, but signal a far deeper underlying concern. I believe the buses are either too heavy for the road, or the road simply isn't fit for purpose. As the buses accelerate up from the Weston Park stop, they crash into small pothole which is adjacent to the end of the parking bay. If the slot is available, I have taken to parking my car as far to the edge of the bay as possible to push the buses away from the dip in order to prevent the impact, which genuinely, is incredibly disquieting, especially early morning or late at night, though this has cost me money in parking fines on the occasion my wheel might be one inch over the white line (all because I'm not being listened to by the council). My guess is the buses accelerate to a speed well over the 20mph limit in order to gain sufficient traction to get up the hill. As they approach the island at speed, they veer towards my house, which then causes what I can only describe as 'after shocks', and again, everything trembles. Can you imagine what sleeping at the front of the house feels like? Its really upsetting. I think widening the island is therefore going to make matters worse for me and my neighbours, who also feel the same way that I do. I would therefore like you to consider either removing the island altogether or doing something to come up with a measure to make the road a better place for the residents, if as expected, the bus route remains in place. Equally, if speed humps are introduced around the proposed 'traffic island', it will surely just provide further impact obstacles for the buses to crash into. My thought would be to introduce a 'priority to oncoming traffic' single lane passage just after Weston Park leading up to Landrock Road, which would reduce speed and impel the bus drivers to approach the ascent of the hill in a more considered manner. If this can't be done, please consider alternatives, otherwise I would like to formally object to your proposal on the basis of it worsening the living experience, devaluing my property, damaging my property and overall negatively impacting the mental health of me, my family, and neighbours. Please do respectfully consider all of the above. You will hopefully appreciate this is our life, which is genuinely made worse through the impact the W3 has on the road and our property. Finally, I would warmly welcome a visit from someone in the Highways team, or your team, to actually come around and experience this first-hand, or review the photos and videos I have previously uploaded to the Haringey pothole website,</p>
---------------	------------	--

Ferme Park Rd	Other view	Extending DYL may only encourage delivery vans, supermarket vans, Uber etc. to park obstructively. We need cameras to deter them, or we should provide a dedicated loading / unloading section. It's worth noting that van deliveries may reduce car use in the area.
Ferme Park Rd	Other view	<p>I have reviewed the proposal and though I welcome the introduction of speed reduction measures I am concerned that these are not enough to fix the speeding issue. In particular, the raised crossings will still leave a large stretch of road from the Weston Park jcn to the Mount View Road jcn, without any speed reducing measures and this is the stretch of road at which the cars speed the most. As a resident situated in the middle of this part of the road I can confirm that cars often pass by at all times of day going at high speed/speeding despite the 20mph restriction in place and nearby raised crossing. This is of great concern for people using the small island in the middle of the road to cross into Landrock Road. It also creates a lot of noise. Mainly though, it does not feel safe.</p> <p>My concerns that raised crossings may not be the solution to reduce the speeding issue we're recently validated when a car crashed through the railings and into the Union Church, right next to the raised crossing on the corner with Weston Park. I can only assume the car was speeding and had to swerve to avoid a car at the junction or someone on the zebra crossing. This is exactly the kind of thing we all fear as residents using the pathways, roads and crossings on Ferme Park Road. We have also witnessed multiple other major car accidents, including a 4 car pile-up just outside our house, over the past 18 months. In light of the above I would submit that further measures are required such as a speed camera or some other speed reduction incentive.</p> <p>Thank you for acknowledging this issue and for taking the time to consider how to solve the problem, it is much appreciated.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Other view	<p>Thank you for arranging the public consultation to address speed reduction measures on Ferme Park Road. We welcome some changes on the street as we have witnessed a number of accidents and have had our car driven into on two occasions outside our home. Whilst raising zebra crossings will be a great help and make pedestrian crossings safer, I am sceptical about moving parking onto the road from the paved bays. There is already a bottleneck issue heading north on Ferme Park Road, where on on-road bays (soon after Dashwood Road) narrow the road. Ferme Park Road is a frequent bus route, and this narrowing is already tight for two cars but absolutely impossible for a bus and car to navigate simultaneously. I would argue that moving bays onto the road is an unhelpful and unnecessary obstruction.</p> <p>Having looked in more detail at the proposal I see that there are plans to remove parking on the junctions at Dashwood and Ridge road. I would argue that there is currently more than adequate visibility on the junction at Ridge Road (the parking there is set back perfectly from the intersection). Opposite on Dashwood, I would argue that only one space needs removing to assist with traffic turning into the junction. I have attached a screen shot of the map to reference these points and ringed unwelcomed changes in pink. As an additional, (and probably not your department), Ferme Park Road and Dashwood Road desperately needs repaving. It is overlooked time and time again (as the side streets get all the TLC!). Your support to get this looked at would be greatly appreciated if you have the means to do so. If you need any other thoughts, please don't hesitate to get in touch.</p>

Ferme Park Rd	Other view	We are very supportive of speed reduction measures on FPR as we have seen several major road accidents and many minor ones at the junction with Weston Park Rd. Speed humps are necessary but not enough. We'd like to have traffic speed cameras on this road, as otherwise, drivers will only slow down then rev up again between the humps. As well as speeding, this results in a lot of noise pollution
Ferme Park Rd	Other view	I broadly support the measures and am grateful that you are doing something, because it is so dangerous living on this road. I worry every time that I get out of the driver's seat that I'll be mown down by a fast car. However, I am not in favour of losing all the parking you are suggesting. It's already difficult enough to find a parking space, and this will only get harder if you change the timing of the CPZ in zone 1 around the lower end of Ferme Park Road. Why aren't you suggesting building sleeping policemen or speed bumps? I find those very effective and surely it would be far simpler and less costly.
Ferme Park Rd	Other view	Good -but doesn't go far enough. Speed bumps / platforms need to be significantly higher than the existing one at FPR-Weston Park; as cars speed over it. That contributes to accidents so a real deterrent is needed e.g. speed cameras.
Ferme Park Rd	Object	This now creates a double pinch point after a bus stop. With a sweep path issue for bus coming down Ferme Park Road. This means that you need over 200m clear road for buses in either direction to clear this area with backup issues already at roundabout this causes additional issues. Jcn with Tottenham Lane: This should be adjusted back, for room to have bus ready to enter roundabout, with provision of pedestrian crossing. This would slow traffic onto and provide safety around this junction. Half footway parking: This now creates a double pinch point after a bus stop. With a sweep path issue for bus coming down Ferme Park Road from proposed refuge island. . The need for the W3 bus to enter the oncoming traffic to avoid the now on street parking creates a pinch point. The same situation currently happens through alternating on street and half street parking. As a general comment it does not appear that consideration for the W3 bus route, the commuting lifeline for residence in the area, has been taken into consideration. The current on-road and half-off-road parking already creates a speed calming effect on the upper parts of Ferme Park Road, but the proposal seems to want to add additional pinch-points along the road at shorter intervals. As the Tottenham Lane roundabout junction already creates tail backs along the road with the additional pinch points it would congest the road to a stalemate. Look forward to the meeting this evening to discuss the Tottenham Land roundabout.
Ferme Park Rd	Object	You seem to forget that people live here. There would be no access / ability to load or unload near the house. The main problem is idiotic drivers speeding and driving on the wrong side of the road. Greater visibility won't influence that. What are needed are cameras / ANPR / Prosecutions
Landrock Rd	Object	Please don't commence this. We have no concerns over speeding on this road. The scheme is a waste of taxpayers' money.

Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>We are writing to share our views on the proposed measures. Speed reduction measures on Ferme Park Road are long overdue, so we are very grateful this is being addressed. We have reviewed the proposal in detail and would like to share both (a) aspects of the plans that we are supportive of, and (b) some concerns that we have with the current plan. We are supportive of the following: (1) areas where half footway parking will be relocated onto the carriageway. We believe that this measure will not only reduce speeding but also widen the footpath which is currently very narrow for pedestrians and not pleasant to walk along. (2) the proposed replacement of the existing refuge island with a raised zebra crossing outside 69/71. There are currently not enough zebra crossings along the road and it feels dangerous to cross even when a refuge island is present. We have the following concerns/comments: (1) As communicated by our neighbour, Andrew Conway, our property shakes and cracks whenever a bus goes over even a minor bump in the road. This is because our property is, uniquely, a post-war detached property, constructed in a space created by an incendiary bomb. Therefore we wish to oppose the building of a raised table in front of 234/236 Ferme Park Road as it would result in vibrations which could impact the building in the long term. (2) We are not convinced that the measures proposed will fully stop speeding (of both cars, motorbikes and buses) between raised tables on the road. (3) You have not addressed in your plans loud illegal exhaust along FPR and idling when parked. (4) You have not addressed bicycle safety in your plans. My husband cycles to work every day and he does not feel safe cycling along Ferme Park Road. Plans to have bicycle lanes on FPR would make it safer for bicycles.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>Thank you for your letter proposing speed reduction on Ferme Park Road. Whilst I'm grateful for any measures that make our road safer, I think the temporary lights we currently have in (coincidentally?) the same place you propose the new crossing have been a great eye opener in terms of impact that queuing traffic will have outside my house at no. 71. Currently, the traffic queuing outside with idling engines is producing far more pollution which can be smelt through my front windows. The accompanying revving of engines, music being blared from car stereos etc is a significant noise pollution also. It's even woken me up in the mornings, even with double glazing. The significant position, on the steep area going towards the top of the hill means cars and buses are pulling away from the lights with great noise, far more than from a flat position. Surely it would make more sense to avoid this extra and inevitable noise by placing the crossing at the top of the hill, on the flat area. I would urge you to consider the relocation of this crossing to a more suitable place. Please do also come and see the revving, noisy traffic that currently sits waiting for the lights temporarily placed outside no. 71. Its gives a great insight into what it may be like for myself and my neighbours.</p>

Ferne Park Rd	Object	<p>I live right in the centre of the proposed scheme. The entire stretch of Ferme Park Road between Fairfield Road and Tottenham Lane is always busy, and the proposed scheme would only worsen this problem. At present, the area experiences regular traffic jams, with buses finding it hard to move along Tottenham Lane. I assume that concerns were more focused on the hill (Ferne Park Road from Mount View Road down to Weston Park), which is entirely valid, and I support additional restrictive measures there. Also, I received a map in the mailbox, and I noticed that "Weston Park" was wrongly labelled as "Western Park". In addition to the inevitable traffic jams and exhaust fumes that will worsen the air quality, there will be a significant parking issue. By this, I do not refer to long-term and overnight parking, but to deliveries, for instance. Currently, delivery cars often block one of the lanes or stop at the junction with the quieter Fairfield Road because there is no space. With the proposed scheme, they won't be able to stop at all between Weston Park and Tottenham Lane. It might be possible to decrease the number of newly introduced measures between Weston Park and Tottenham Lane in the first phase. For example, we could add less disruptive measures such as carriageway parking opposite houses 216-226 and 275 only. These measures could be adequate, and other measures may not be necessary. Thank you.</p>
Ferne Park Rd	Object	<p>My property in Ferme park rd. is located in the centre of the proposed radical measures. Currently, we experience regular traffic jams, with buses struggling to move forward on Tottenham Lane. In fact, the entire stretch of Ferme Park Road between Fairfield Road and Tottenham Lane is extremely busy. The proposed scheme will only exacerbate this issue. However, I'm not entirely sure that there were many complaints about speeding in this particular area of the street. I assume concerns were more focused on the hill (Ferne Park Road from Mount View Road down to Weston Park) - which is entirely valid, and I support additional restrictive measures there. Aside from the inevitable traffic jams and exhaust fumes that will worsen the air quality, we'll also experience a significant parking issue. I'm not referring to long-term and overnight parking, but rather to deliveries, for instance. Currently, delivery cars often block one of the lanes or stop at the junction with the quieter Fairfield Road because there is no space. With the proposed scheme, they won't be able to stop at all between Weston Park and Tottenham Lane. How are we expected to receive deliveries and services? Furthermore, I don't understand how banning loading will reduce speeds. In the first phase, it could be possible to reduce the number of newly introduced measures between Weston Park and Tottenham Lane. For instance, we could add less disruptive things such as carriageway parking opposite houses 216-226 and 275 only. These measures might be sufficient, and other measures may not be required. Thank you.</p>

Ferne Park Rd	Object	<p>While I agree with measures being introduced to reduce speeding and accidents, such as the double yellow lines at junctions, we have a major concern regarding the introduction of a raised crossing at the top of the hill near Dashwood Road / Ferme Park Road. > The main concern is the backing up/ stopping of vehicles on a hill and the emission of fumes while the vehicles (including buses) are waiting. > Coincidentally there are road works here at the moment and temporary traffic lights exactly where the zebra crossing is proposed - and the traffic that is stopping here is emitting greatly increased noise and fumes and to have large vehicles and buses, as well as cars, stopping at such a hill crossing is a health and noise nightmare!!! My neighbours agree. > While speed signs and other measures are welcome - a line of waiting traffic on the peak of this hill would be awful. > Please do not do this!</p>
Ferne Park Rd	Object	<p>Speed reduction measures on our road are long overdue but our property shakes and cracks whenever a bus goes over even a minor bump in the road. This is because it is, uniquely a post-war detached property, constructed in a space created by an incendiary bomb. Therefore we wish to oppose the building of a raised table near 234 / 236 Ferme Park Road. Sincerely Company Secretary 234 & 236 Ferme Park Road Residents Association Limited.</p>
Dashwood Rd	Object	<p>Thank you for consulting Haringey Cycling Campaign. Although LCN7 runs in a similar direction to Ferme Park Rd, there is considerable cycle traffic on Ferme Park Rd, because it is slightly less hilly and is more direct compared to LCN7.</p> <p>The present level of collisions involving pedestrians and cyclists is fairly low, with only 3 slight pedestrian casualties recorded in the last 5 years, all occurring at the Weston Rd junction, which already has a raised table and for which no new work is proposed. In the same period there were only 4 slight cycle rider casualties, excluding 2 at the Tottenham Lane roundabout, for which no work is proposed in this scheme. The casualty locations were as below, and I have also shown the "all casualties" map for reference. We must be careful that the proposed work doesn't lead to an increase in the low level of pedestrian and cycle casualties. Of course a reduction in the number of motor vehicle user casualties would be welcome, but this should not be achieved at the expense of pedestrians and cyclists. The particular worry is that cyclists negotiating the steepest sections of hill are already moving slowly and rely on the driving skills of drivers (including bus drivers) to pass only when safe. The introduction of speed tables at these sections will slow down riders even more and make it difficult to continue straight ahead, particularly at the "up" side of tables where the actual gradient at the ramps will be as much as 1:6. We anticipate the introduction of speed tables at steep sections of road will lead to an increase of cycle casualties. Any collision involving motor vehicles can also result in injury to pedestrians, passengers and drivers. In aiming towards Vision Zero this is surely not a desired outcome. Adding a traffic island creates a pinch point, further increasing the risk and vulnerability of a rider climbing a steep hill.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>We object to the scheme in its present form and look forward to your response.</p>

Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>As the owner of a flat at xx Ferme Park Road I object to the proposals immediately outside the property. As it is currently, it is difficult to park because already there are no spaces immediately outside of the property due to the existing island. Removing further spaces will add pressure to the parking supply. Sometimes it was necessary to park on Ossian Road as spaces opposite the reservoir can become full. Removing parking will have a knock on effect adversely affecting parking for all residents along Ferme Park Road.</p> <p>I would also like to object to the proposed raised table. In years past the entire house would vibrate when heavy lorries hit a change in level in the road surface which had developed adjacent to the island. I believe that traffic coming down the hill will cause disruption to the building. No one wants to lie in a bed at night whilst the building shakes. The road is a major route for trucks navigating the local area. The vibrations may affect the structure of the building.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>My family and I have lived on FPR for many years. We frequently use the W3 bus and are very concerned that by narrowing the road, there will be insufficient space for buses to pass each other. This is already a problem, and your scheme will make things even worse by slowing up the buses even more.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>I object to having a raised table outside my home. Braking vehicles will generate noise as well as unsafe brake dust, to such an extent as to make life intolerable for residents - far outweighing any advantages.</p>

Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>Object to a raised junction table at the junction of Ferme Park Road and Ossian Road</p> <p>Please don't install this raised junction table here. The main reason for my objection to this plan is that my flat vibrates and shakes whenever a car, lorry or bus crosses a bump in the road close to our flats. Even at low speeds the property shakes. These vibrations cause the walls to shake, the furniture to rattle and it has a serious negative impact on my quality of life when at home. We have a busy bus route on Ferme Park Road with buses travelling either way at least every 5 minutes - the sound of the buses is not a problem for me and my family but whenever there is an imperfection in the road which causes a bump or dip in the surface of the road my flat shakes.</p> <p>These vibrations and the sound of furniture and pictures rattling every time a bus or car travels though these bumps severely impacts my sleep. Not only is my sleep affected but the sleep of my children is negatively impacted too. Whilst the aim of this proposed measure is to reduce vehicle speed, this will add to the imperfections in the road outside my house as every bus slows and travels off the raised junction. This will create even more vibrations and shaking of my flat. With the permanent installation of this raised junction table at this point I know that every vehicle - especially lorries and buses - will create my property to shake. I understand and support speed measures on this road but not at this point as I know that every vehicle that travels down my road will result in more vibrations. If the raised junction continued to the zebra crossing outside Londis this would be better. A further issue to consider is that we have had multiple drain and flooding issues outside of my house and at the point where the proposed junction table will be. If you install it here I know that Thames water would have to dig in to the structure frequently causing the structure of the junction table to be compromised further. I notice that you haven't proposed to put raised junction tables at all of the other junctions on this road and ask that you reconsider the installation of this one. Thank you for reading my response to your consultation and ask that you take my concerns seriously. I will also be in touch with my local councillor to raise my concerns about this particular measure.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>Agree with first 6 proposed measures, but OBJECT to relocation of parking bays (final 7th proposal listed).</p>

Ferne Park Rd	Object	<p>The reduction of parking outside 68A Ferme Park Road and along Ferme Park Road elsewhere will mean that there will be inadequate parking. This is exacerbated by Ferme Park Road being situated on the borderline of two Controlled Parking Zones. As a result, when at 12 o'clock each day there is a surge in occupancy from the neighbouring zone from residents who do not have permits and tradesmen working in the local area. Already this means that it is often difficult to find a parking space conveniently located close to one's own home if you are returning during this period of the day. Furthermore, I object to a raised crossing for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Along Ferme Park Road the surface is in very poor condition. Dips, holes and bumps already cause drivers to take evasive action so as not to damage their vehicles leading to potential accidents. These fundamental flaws in the road surface should surely be the priority. Existing speed reduction measures are poorly maintained, and it seems highly likely that raised crossings will fall into the same disrepair and neglect. These cause damage to vehicles even at low and below regulation speeds. Examples of which I would hope you would be aware of on the western end of Mount View Road, Tottenham Lane and the entrance to Dashwood Road. I have photographs of examples if you would like to see them. -Riding the W3 bus is already uncomfortable let alone when its suspension is pivoting as is caused by it reaching the top of the hill. This is usually where we will be attempting to go down the stairs of the bus to get off at the nearby stop.
---------------	--------	--

Ferme Park Rd	Object	<p>We have reviewed the proposed speed reducing measures in detail and although speeding traffic on Ferme Park Road is definitely a concern that needs to be addressed, we oppose some of the proposed measures as detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of new speed and junction tables along Ferme Park Road. Response: Object. We object to the inclusion of a raised table in the proposed map, which would be situated directly outside our post-war detached property. The building's thin walls and shallow foundations cause it to vibrate significantly whenever big vehicles and busses drive over minor bumps on the road. The placement of a junction table in close proximity to our property would intensify this vibration issue leading to a persistent disturbance and the possibility of damage to our property. • Replacement of the existing refuge island outside 130/132 with a raised table and a larger pedestrian island. Response: In favour • Replacement of the existing refuge island with a raised zebra crossing outside 69/71 Response: In favour • Replacement of the existing zebra crossing on Ferme Park Road by Mount View Road with a raised zebra crossing and larger island, 10m to the west of its current location Response: In favour • Replacement of the existing refuge island outside 40/42 with a raised table and a larger pedestrian island Response: In favour • Replacement of the existing zebra crossing outside the Londis supermarket with a raised zebra crossing Response: In favour; suggestion for additional Zebra crossings towards the North side of the road (Tottenham Lane side) • Relocation of some pavement parking bays (known as "2-wheels up") back on to the road. Response: Mixed. While we support the measure to compel drivers in Ferme Park Road to reduce their speed, we have reservations that the loss of parking space may result in increased difficulty in finding a parking spot near our property, particularly as the area encompasses the purpose-built residences of Ferme Park Mansions.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Thank you for your plans to introduce further traffic calming on Ferme Park Road. Despite what is already here - and the use of 20mph signs a worrying percentage of drivers still see 300m of clear [traffic parked half on pavement] straight road and for some reason go crazy. I have seen people overtaking at 60 mph on a regular basis, with perhaps 20% of drivers obviously ignoring the 20 mph advice. The truth is that without "enforcement" via speed cameras or the presence of police officers, the advisory 20mph signs have been totally useless for the decade or so that they have been in place. So thanks for this new attempt to introduce some sanity to "Crouch End's race track" - let's hope it works this time.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>The proposed measures are very welcome and will hopefully address a serious and longstanding problem with speeding along Ferme Park Road. I have one question: in the letter from Ann Cunningham it stated the zebra crossing at the junction of Ferme Park Road and Mount View Road would be replaced with a raised zebra crossing and a larger island, 10 metres to the west of its current location. Presumably that would be north, rather than west, of the current location.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>A pedestrian crossing at 130 / 132 FPR would be a great addition, as crossing the road is a problem - esp for children.</p>

Mount View Rd	Support	This is extremely welcome and much-needed. The eastern side of Mount View Rd also suffers from speeding traffic. Maybe some measures to combat that could also be considered.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Advise also installing lights on FPR, with speed humps and speed cameras
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Fully support this. People go too quickly down the hill. Speed cameras would be useful too, although I hope this works. Please keep disabled bays. NB Loud exhausts are also a problem here
Ferme Park Rd	Support	About time! I hope this gets the required support. I first emailed Ann Cunningham about this in February 2017. Please ensure this happens. BTW the name is WESTON, not Western Park.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Need raised pedestrian crossing by Condis, and at brow of hill on FPR. Reduce the noise and pollution by making FPR bus access only at defined times.
Mount View Rd	Support	
Mount View Rd	Support	
Ferme Park Rd	Support	We welcome this, as as speeding traffic on FPR is dangerous. I've seen cars overtake on the wrong side of the refuge island (outside #40 / 42) many times - often at high speed. Can anything be done to improve safety at the junction between FPR and Florence Road? This is a very dangerous road to cross as a pedestrian. Thank you.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	
Ferme Park Rd	Support	This is badly needed as drivers speed down FPR. I agree 100% with the new measures.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Speed bumps!
Landrock Rd	Support	I support the changes at junction at Landrock Rd. In fact I would suggest additional measures there including a zebra crossing. The acceleration of cars and buses northbound (downhill) on FPR is high at that point.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Cars speed up the N4 part of Ferme Park Rd from zebra crossing near Londis, along to the zebra at Mountview Rd. Therefore, traffic calming is very welcome
Ferme Park Rd	Support	I fully support this move as several elders live there and see cars racing up and down the hill on a daily basis, especially at night but frequently speeding during the day. This is long overdue. This also makes crossing at the zebra very dangerous. I'm sure many will support this.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	I strongly support your proposals for dealing with motorists who flout the speed restrictions on this road. The same should be done on Crouch Hall Road and Coolhurst Road.

Ferme Park Rd	Support	I vigorously support the measures. I have a few questions: How long do you think the measures will take to implement? Where might residents be able to park during the works? Generally what is the timetable for the works? In support: There is so much dangerous speeding on this road and as you have included in your plans, the Mount View Road zebra crossing is too close to the junction, when crossing west to east you get obscured by Vans from Mount View turning left onto FPR waiting for you to pass, obscuring pedestrians about to step out from oncoming traffic. Suggestion: One further obstruction I would add is, there is a growth of small branches on the bottom of the tree at the top of FPR next to the reservoir, in summer this growth is large and covered in leaves, it obscures pedestrians' visibility of oncoming traffic (and vice versa), when crossing using the traffic island near the benches outside the reservoir. It may sound ridiculous, and doesn't look like it in the attached photo, but it is a genuine safety hazard and will eventually get someone seriously injured. (SEE EMAIL #19 WHICH INCLUDES A PICTURE OF THE SECTION OF ROAD)
Ferme Park Rd	Support	
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>I was very glad to have received the mail from you and your team on the proposed speed reductions for Ferme Park Rd. I have previously tried to contact the Haringey Council about this but never got through to the correct person. We live in Ferme Park with small children. I am constantly worried about the traffic outside on Ferme Park road. I have spent a lot of time/money ensuring our property is gated and fenced in for example. I have seen daily traffic issues on Ferme Park road and I am certain unless something is done it is a matter of time until there is a serious injury or a death. Cars constantly go at least twice the speed limit, pass buses and/or slower cars and totally disregard any speed restriction.</p> <p>My only two points to raise on proposal: 1. At the intersection of Landrock Road and Ferme park it is noted that a "Proposed replacement of the existing refuge island with a raised table and larger pedestrian island". While this would be great, why can't this be a pedestrian crossing? It is used heavily as a crossing and gives a lot of people access to Crouch End Broadway and Library. People speed up to go up the hill and or are flying down it which makes it dangerous.</p> <p>2. All the markings on the road are faded and need to be repainted so it's "20". It is crazy how fast some people go on this road. Witnessed a very bad car crash just a week or so ago after a car came into the hill too fast. A speed camera would be in constant use. Looking forward to seeing a proposal being implemented ASAP</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	I have no objections to this speed reduction measure. In fact this couldn't come any sooner. The constant speeding on this is unacceptable. Thank you for your time.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	This is a great proposal and you have my full support. Thank you so much for taking action. My only objection is to wonder whether the proposed measures will do enough to reduce speed on this road. I'd happily support tougher measures! Thanks again,

Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>In general, I support the proposals. Note that the raised tables proposed along the road need to be of sufficient height to actually slow traffic. The existing table junction with Weston Park/FPR does little to slow down vehicles (especially HGV's, motorbikes and SUV's) (I live next to this junction). My main comment is that ALL pavement parking along the road should be removed, not just some of it. The proposals represent an opportunity to improve the street, which will not arise again in the immediate future. By removing some of the pavement parking - this will only provide pedestrian accessibility improvements for half of the street, which is a lost opportunity, particularly given the Council's aspirations contained within its Walking and Cycling Action Plan. Priority along the entire length of the street should be for pedestrians, not vehicles. Appropriate adjustments should be made to ensure that there is sufficient space for buses to pass at appropriate points along the road, if necessary. Having lived here for 20 years, I remember the street prior to pavement parking being introduced and buses managed to run along this easily. Therefore, there is no reason why the removal of ALL pavement parking would be detrimental to bus services and by doing this it would also provide a disincentive for HGV's (and other vehicles) to use the street as a rat-run (as they should be using main roads, NOT unclassified residential streets such as FPR). The proposals represent an opportunity to significantly enhance the street for pedestrians, reduce speeding and discourage its use as a rat-run. The street from the top of the hill to Tottenham Lane has a terrible pedestrian environment and hardly any tree planting which is a direct result of the introduction of pavement parking. So, whilst I do support the proposals, it is considered that the Council should take this opportunity to be bolder and remove ALL pavement parking from the street.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this consultation. We are residents of Ferme Park Road. We're enormously supportive of the proposal. The speeds of vehicles on the road outside our house is a constant worry for us. Car drivers view the straight stretch of road between Londis and Mount View Road as a chance to open the throttle and often accelerate speeds of 40 and 50mph or more - much too fast to react to anything unexpected coming onto the road. We fear for the lives of our two cats and we worry about when our young child is old enough to walk the pavement on his own. We support all elements of the proposal, and most of all the raised zebra crossings at Londis and Mount View Road and the three raised sections in between. We are happy to accept the loss of local parking bays for the sake of all of our safety. Thank you very much</p>

Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>As a resident of Ferme Park Road, I am supportive of measures that aim to reduce speed and improve safety for all road users. However, I do have a concern regarding the proposed raised table(s) outside my house in Ferme Park Road and along the road. While I understand that this measure is intended to slow down traffic, I am uncertain of its effect on the buildings along the road. Currently, when a large vehicle such as a lorry or bus passes through, our top floor flat shakes. We are on the top floor of an old Victorian building, and I worry that further measures to reduce speed may exacerbate this issue. Although, I might be wrong as I don't truly understand the physics behind vehicles causing the flat to shake! I would like to request that any type of speed reduction technique be designed in consideration of its impact on the buildings along the road. I know that this is not just an issue for my flat, as I have friends who also live along Ferme Park Road whose flats also shake. All the buildings along the road are old 1880s Victorian buildings, and I believe that any measure to reduce speed should take into account the potential impact on these structures and their foundations. Thank you for considering my comments. I look forward to hearing more about the proposed speed reduction measures and any further developments in this regard.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>A speed camera is needed from the roundabout to Weston Park, because when cars reach Fairfield Rd they are speeding along at 40 - 50mph. A speed camera is the best way to slow them.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Regarding the speed reduction proposals on Ferme Park road N4. v Cars along this road sometimes hit 50 - 60 miles per hour as there are no speed limit restrictions; so I am very happy to support all and any reductions to be put in place. I only hope that what is being proposed is enough, as I would like a speed camera and more regular speed bumps put in. There are many families on this road and it's incredibly dangerous as it stands.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Concerned and shocked to see cars speed UP the hill towards Crouch end. The 20mph signs are ignored. Cars go so fast they don't see the zebra at the top of the hill until it's almost too late to stop.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Speed camera is necessary. People using FPR to drive in and out of North London tend to speed. I've lived here a few months and have already seen a few accidents</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>Speeding on FPR needs to be controlled - especially at approach to pedestrian crossings at junction with Weston Park. Increased pavement parking has restricted the pedestrian use. Also, bikes illegally cycle along the pavement. This road needs a complete revamp.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	<p>As a family with small children, we strongly support the proposal - as many of the crossings in the area are far too dangerous to use, as cars speed too much up and down FPR.</p>
Ferme Park Rd	Support	

Mount View Rd	Support	I agree with all the proposed speed measures for Ferme Park Road. It is really a very dangerous road as drivers see a long straight road in both directions from the bottom of the hill and top off the hill and think they can go very fast (even though there are parked cars on both sides).I see it and hear it! Even though it is shown there is a crossing at the top they approach way too fast. I have seen several very frightening incidents of cars coming down the hill so fast towards Ossian Road and the crossing and bend I don't know how they didn't cause a very serious accident. I live at 18B, Mount View Road near the corner which is also dangerous. Drivers approach the junction where Mt V Rd meets Ferme Road fast and are impatient to get over to the other side! It is good they will have to reduce their speed as they approach the junction. I have seen accidents there. It is essential these proposals go ahead for the safety of both pedestrians and drivers and I am relieved that the situation is now being addressed. I do hope it will result in a good decision and hopefully these measures will be implemented as soon as possible. As a local resident without a car who relies on public transport I hope it will not affect the bus stop close to Mount View Road as that is a very busy stop!
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Speed control is needed for cars and bikes. Few observe the 20mph limit and because it's a long straight hill, it can be like a race track at times. This is dangerous - especially as there are many families here with young children. Speed reduction measures are definitely needed
Ferme Park Rd	Support	FPR has steep gradients on both sides of the ridge (Ridge Road) and therefore needs extra measures to discourage speeding downhill. I'd like to see the island outside #40 / 42 FPR to be as big as the other islands along the road. Please also see that the cement lorries use the A103 and A504, and do not use residential streets like FPR, or school roads like Stapleton Hall.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	I support the proposed measures, and also have some additional comments. I would like to request speed detection cameras (either in the form of fixed or average speed), as I do have concerns that HGV's, LCVs and buses passing over the raised tables create noise if they do so at speed, and therefore cameras would both further reduce the speeding of larger vehicles and also ensure that the road measures put in place did not adversely impact the residents closest to the entrance and exit portions of the tables. The speed and driving style of many vehicles that use Ferme Park Rd is dangerous (we have had two vehicles severely damaged in the past couple of months and many neighbours have had damaged cars from accidents caused by excess speed) and so I welcome measures that will make the road safer for the hundreds of families that live on this residential road.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Great news that Haringey is finally addressing speeding on FPR. However you are 'robbing Peter to pay Paul' by removing so much parking space. You tackle one problem, but then cause residents another one.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Given its length, cars regularly speed on FPR. I'd like to see traffic diverted away from FPR, as well as the proposed measures - all of which I support

Ferme Park Rd	Support	I support the proposed measures. Thank you for consulting us about ways to make our road a safer place to live. I do also have some additional comments. I would like to request speed detection cameras (either in the form of fixed or average speed). I do have concerns that HGV's, LCVs and buses passing over the raised tables would create noise if they do so at speed and therefore cameras would both further reduce the speeding of larger vehicles and also ensure that the road measures put in place did not adversely impact the residents closest to the entrance and exit portions of the tables. The speed and driving style of many vehicles that use Ferme Park Rd is dangerous (we have had two vehicles severely damaged in the past couple of months and many neighbours have had damaged cars from accidents caused by excess speed) and so I welcome measures that will make the road safer for the hundreds of families that live on this residential road.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Just a quick email to express my family's support for the proposed improvements to Speed Reduction on Ferme Park Road. We've seen numerous crashes over the past decade including multiple overturned cars, trapped passengers and collisions with cyclists. Recently a collision with a parked car took place about 20ft away from where we were walking - just north of Weston Park. These measures would have ensured the driver would have to slow down at the junction and would have directly avoided the collision. While these measures will reduce parking slightly, there are ample spaces on the road and these changes are needed. I think the one measure that would make a big difference would be a speed camera.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	I am fully supportive of the measure proposed to reduce the speed of traffic on Ferme Park Road. I live at 33 Ferme Park Road and that the council is embarking on this initiative is very encouraging. I hope it can be achieved quickly and reduce the daily danger pedestrians and other road users face from the ridiculous speeds some use on this road.
Ferme Park Rd	Support	Speed bumps are essential on this road
70	70	70